arXiv:quant-ph/9706037v1 17 Jun 1997

Generalised Heisenberg Relations

Dorje C. Brody and Lane P. Hughston
* *Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW U.K.*
† *Merrill Lynch International, 25 Ropemaker Street, London EC2Y 9LY U.K. and King's College London, The Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.*

(February 1, 2008)

A geometric framework for quantum statistical estimation is used to establish a series of higher order corrections to the Heisenberg uncertainty relations associated with pairs of canonically conjugate variables.

PACS Numbers : 02.40.K, 02.40.F, 02.50, 03.65

An efficient geometric approach to parameter estimation, based upon Hilbert space geometry, has been developed recently [1]. The idea is to regard a statistical model as being embedded into a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, thereby allowing statistical estimation theory to be expressed neatly in terms of the geometry of $\mathcal{H}$. In particular, the concept of a statistical model can also be formulated in the case of a quantum mechanical state space, after a compatible complex structure is introduced on the underlying real Hilbert space, thus leading to a succinct characterisation of the quantum estimation problem.

In the present Letter, this geometrical framework is applied to establish a series of corrections to the Heisenberg uncertainty relations. For example, in the case of the measurement of a 'position' parameter q, for which an unbiased estimator is given by an operator Q, with conjugate momentum operator P, where $i[P,Q]=1$ ($\hbar=1$), we find an infinite set of corrections to the uncertainty lower bound, given in terms of higher order central moments of the distribution of P. If we write $\mu_n = \langle (P-\langle P\rangle)^n\rangle$, these corrections take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Q^2 \Delta P^2 \;\geq\; & \frac{1}{4}\Bigg(1+\frac{(\mu_4-3\mu_2^2)^2}{\mu_6\mu_2-\mu_4^2} \\ & + \frac{\mu_2[\mu_8(\mu_4-3\mu_2^2)+\mu_6(8\mu_4\mu_2-\mu_6)-5\mu_4^3]^2}{(\mu_{10}(\mu_6\mu_2-\mu_4^2)+2\mu_8\mu_6\mu_4-\mu_8^2\mu_2-\mu_6^3)(\mu_6\mu_2-\mu_4^2)}+\;\cdots\Bigg)\;. \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

The statistical model $\mathcal{M}$ in this case is the one-parameter family of states $|\psi_q\rangle$ obtained by the action of the unitary operator e^{iqP} on an initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$. The problem is to use measurements of the observable Q to estimate the value of q, provided that the actual state of the system lies somewhere on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$.

In order to pursue these ideas further, first we review a few of the basic concepts of statistical geometry. Consider a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with a symmetric inner product denoted g_{ab}, and write ξ^a for a typical vector in $\mathcal{H}$. A random variable in $\mathcal{H}$ is represented by a symmetric operator X_{ab}, with expectation $E_\xi[X]=X_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b/\xi^c\xi_c$ in the state ξ^a. The variance of X_{ab} is then

$$\mathrm{Var}_\xi[X] \;=\; \frac{\tilde{X}_{ab}\tilde{X}^b_c\xi^a\xi^c}{g_{de}\xi^d\xi^e}\;, \qquad (2)$$

where $\tilde{X}_{ab} = X_{ab} - E_\xi[X]g_{ab}$ represents the deviation of X_{ab} from its mean. In these expressions ξ^a is required to lie in the domain of X_{ab}, which in the case of a bounded

operator is the whole of $\mathcal{H}$. If ξ^a is normalised, we have $g_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b = 1$, whence ξ^a is a point on the unit sphere $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. By a 'statistical model', we mean a submanifold $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{S}$, given parametrically by $\xi^a(\theta^i)$ where θ^i are local coordinates. The Riemannian metric induced on $\mathcal{M}$, known as the Fisher-Rao metric, is given by [1,2]

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij} \;=\; 4g_{ab}\partial_i\xi^a\partial_j\xi^b \;, \tag{3}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial\theta^i$ (the factor of 4 is conventional). For simplicity, in what follows we consider the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is one-dimensional, and for which the Fisher information is thus $\mathcal{G} = 4g_{ab}\dot{\xi}^a\dot{\xi}^b$, where the dot denotes $\partial/\partial\theta$.

Now we are able to pose the following statistical estimation problem. Given the measurement of an observable X_{ab}, we are interested in a one-parameter family $\xi^a(\theta)$ of possible states characterising the distribution of the outcome. The parameter θ, which is understood to lie in some specified range, determines the unknown state of nature, and we wish to estimate θ with the given data. For such an estimation problem a lower bound can be established for the variance of the estimate. Comparing the variance of our estimate to the lower bound, we can enquire to what extent the estimator is efficient. An elementary geometrical derivation of this bound is as follows. Given a curve $\xi^a(\theta)$ in $\mathcal{S}$, we say that a random variable T_{ab} is an *unbiased estimator* for a function $\tau(\theta)$ if

$$\frac{T_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b}{g_{cd}\xi^c\xi^d} \;=\; \tau(\theta) \;, \tag{4}$$

along the given trajectory. Since τ, regarded as a function of ξ^a, is homogeneous, we can extend its definition to the whole of the domain of T_{ab} in $\mathcal{H}$, and thus define the gradient $\nabla_a\tau$, where $\nabla_a = \partial/\partial\xi^a$. A short calculation shows that $\nabla_a\tau = 2\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b/g_{cd}\xi^c\xi^d$, where $\tilde{T}_{ab} = T_{ab} - \tau g_{ab}$, and as a consequence we deduce that the variance of the estimator T is given by

$$\mathrm{Var}_\xi[T] \;=\; \frac{1}{4}g^{ab}\nabla_a\tau\nabla_b\tau \tag{5}$$

for any state on the given curve in $\mathcal{S}$. This formula gives us a useful geometrical interpretation for the variance of an estimator.

The squared length of the gradient vector $\nabla_a\tau$ can be expressed as the sum of the squares of its orthogonal components with respect to a suitable basis. To this end, we choose a set of vectors comprising the state ξ^a and its higher order derivatives. Letting $\hat{\xi}^{(n)a}$ denote the component of $\xi^{(n)a} = d^n\xi^a/d\theta^n$ orthogonal to both ξ^a and all of its derivatives of order less than n, we obtain a set of orthonormal vectors is given by $\{\hat{\xi}^{(n)a}/\sqrt{\hat{\xi}^{(n)b}\hat{\xi}^{(n)}_b}\}$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$. It follows from the basic relation (5) that

$$\mathrm{Var}_\xi[T] \;\geq\; \frac{1}{4}\sum_n \frac{(\hat{\xi}^{(n)a}\nabla_a\tau)^2}{\hat{\xi}^{(n)b}\hat{\xi}^{(n)}_b} \;. \tag{6}$$

Since each term in the sum on the right hand side of (6) is positive, we obtain a set of inequalities, which we refer to as generalised Bhattacharyya variance lower bounds [1]. An essential difference here from the corresponding classical inequalities [3] is that the bounds in

(6) are not necessarily independent of the specific choice of estimator. In our approach to the quantum estimation problem below, however, we find a set of bounds that are systematically independent of the estimator T.

We shall consider the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is the submanifold of a quantum mechanical state space generated by the action of a one-parameter family of unitary transformations starting from some given initial state. In particular, we shall examine the case of state vector $\xi^a(t)$ that satisfies the Schrödinger equation:

$$\dot{\xi}^a \;=\; J^a{}_b \tilde{H}^b{}_c \xi^c \;. \tag{7}$$

Here, we identify θ in the analysis above with the time parameter t, and the tensor $J^a{}_b$, satisfying $J^a{}_c J^c{}_b = -\delta^a{}_b$, is the usual complex structure on $\mathcal{H}$. The 'mean-adjusted' Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_{ab}$ is symmetric and Hermitian, and describes the deviation of the Hamiltonian from its mean in the given state. The variance of the Hamiltonian is then given by $\Delta H^2 = g_{ab}\dot{\xi}^a\dot{\xi}^b$.

Note that in our approach the Hilbert space of quantum mechanics is treated as a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ endowed with a metric g_{ab} and a compatible complex structure J^a_b. This is entirely equivalent to the conventional formulation of quantum mechanics based on complex Hilbert space [4], but has the virtue of being more geometrically transparent in such a way, in particular, as to make the link with statistical geometry much clearer.

Now, suppose that we have a large number of independent identical systems, each of which evolves from an initial state $\xi^a(0)$ under the influence of the Hamiltonian H_{ab}. The problem is to estimate how much time t has elapsed since the initial preparation. Let T_{ab} be an unbiased estimator for the time parameter in this problem, so

$$\frac{T_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b}{g_{cd}\xi^c\xi^d} \;=\; t \tag{8}$$

along the trajectory $\xi^a(t)$. The existence of such an estimator is demonstrated, for example, in [5]. As a consequence of (7) and (8), it follows that $2T_{ab}J^b_c H^c_d \xi^a\xi^d = 1$ along the normalised trajectory $\xi^a(t)$, which can be viewed as a 'weak' form of the canonical commutation relation. In other words, for an estimator, as opposed to an observable, we merely require an operator that satisfies the canonical commutation relation in expectation along the designated trajectory with reference to which the relevant measurement operation is being performed.

We are interested in finding an explicit form of the variance lower bounds (6) for quantum mechanical situations. When ξ^a satisfies the Schrödinger equation (7), we find that our series of orthogonal vectors is given by

$$\left\{ \xi^a,\;\; \dot{\xi}^a,\;\; \ddot{\xi}^a - (\ddot{\xi}^b\xi_b)\xi^a,\;\; \dddot{\xi}^a - \frac{\dddot{\xi}^b\,\dot{\xi}_b}{\dot{\xi}^c\dot{\xi}_c}\dot{\xi}^a,\;\; \ldots \right\} \;.$$

Let $\{\Psi^a_n\}$ denote this series of vectors, so $\Psi^a_0 = \xi^a$, $\Psi^a_1 = \dot{\xi}^a$, and so on. We note that $\nabla_a t = 2\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b$ for normalised states in the domain of T_{ab}, so we can rewrite (6) in the form

$$\Delta T^2 \;\geq\; \frac{1}{4}\sum_n \frac{(2\Psi^a_n \tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b)^2}{g_{ab}\Psi^a_n\Psi^b_n} \;. \tag{9}$$

Since Ψ^a_n can be expressed in terms of linear combinations of the derivatives of ξ^a, we find that for odd integers n, the following remarkable identity can be used to simplify equation (9):

$$2T_{ab}\xi^{(n)a}\xi^b \;=\; (-1)^m n g_{ab}\xi^{(m)a}\xi^{(m)b} \;, \tag{10}$$

where $m = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$. Furthermore, a simple calculation shows that $g_{ab}\xi^{(m)a}\xi^{(m)b} = \mu_{2m}$, where $\mu_{2m} = \langle \tilde{H}^{2m}\rangle$ denotes the $2m$-th central moment of the Hamiltonian in the given state. Therefore, we find that for $n = 0$ the contribution in (9) vanishes, and the $n = 1$ term gives $(4\mu_2)^{-1}$, i.e., the Heisenberg lower bound. We also find [1] that the $n = 2$ term depends upon the choice of the estimator T, while for $n = 3$ the result, which is independent of the choice of estimator, can be expressed in terms of the fourth cumulant of the distribution for the Hamiltonian H, as indicated in equation (1). We now proceed to deduce the general pattern of such higher order terms.

In general, the even order contributions depend on the covariance of the estimator T with H^{2n}, while by virtue of (10) the odd order terms are manifestly independent of the specific choice of T. Hence we only consider odd order terms. Let $N_n = g_{ab}\Psi^a_n\Psi^b_n$ denote the denominator of the correction terms in (9). Then, an exercise shows that

$$N_n \;=\; \frac{D_{2n}}{D_{2n-4}} \;, \tag{11}$$

where D_{2n} is defined by the determinant

$$D_{2n} \;=\; \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{2n} & \mu_{2n-2} & \cdots & \mu_{n+1} \\ \mu_{2n-2} & \mu_{2n-4} & \cdots & \mu_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{n+1} & \mu_{n-1} & \cdots & \mu_2 \end{vmatrix} \;, \tag{12}$$

therefore, $D_2 = \mu_2$, $D_6 = \mu_6\mu_2 - \mu_4^2$, and so on. We note, incidentally, that N_2 gives the curvature of the curve $\xi^a(t)$ in $\mathcal{S}$, and N_k for $k > 2$ determines the higher order 'torsion' of ξ^a, in the sense of classical differential geometry. This can be seen by noticing that (12) is expressible in terms of the norm of the antisymmetric tensor obtained by skew-symmetrising the product of the following $m+1$ vectors: $\xi^{(n)}_a, \xi^{(n-2)}_a, \cdots, \xi^{(1)}_a$. For example, we have $D_{10} = 6\xi^{(5)}_{[a}\xi^{(3)}_b\xi^{(1)}_{c]}\xi^{(5)[a}\xi^{(3)b}\xi^{(1)c]}$, and so on. Standard statistical identities [6] guarantee $D_{2n} \geq 0$. Thus, in order to find the general pattern for the higher order corrections, we must understand the structure of the numerators $2\Psi^a_n\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b$. Let us recall the way the basis vectors Ψ^a_n are formed:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi^a_1 = \dot{\xi}^a \\ \Psi^a_3 = \xi^{(3)a} - \frac{\xi^{(3)b}\Psi_{1b}}{\Psi^c_1\Psi_{1c}}\Psi^a_1 \\ \Psi^a_5 = \xi^{(5)a} - \frac{\xi^{(5)b}\Psi_{3b}}{\Psi^c_3\Psi_{3c}}\Psi^a_3 - \frac{\xi^{(5)b}\Psi_{1b}}{\Psi^c_1\Psi_{1c}}\Psi^a_1 \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

That is, for each value of n, we subtract the components of Ψ^a_k from $\xi^{(n)a}$ where $k < n$. Since we know $2\Psi^a_1\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b = 1$, we obtain $2\Psi^a_3\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b = \mu_2^{-1}(\mu_4 - 3\mu_2^2)$ by use of (10) and the

above expression for Ψ_3^a. Furthermore, from these two results, we can then find $2\Psi_5^a\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b$, provided we know the coefficients of Ψ_k^a $(k=1,3)$ in Ψ_5^a, and similarly for Ψ_7^a and so on. In other words, once we find the pattern for these coefficients, we can express the resulting expression for higher order corrections in a recursive manner.

We notice that the denominator terms in the coefficients are given by the expressions N_k defined above. Hence we have reduced the problem to finding an expression for $\xi^{(n)a}\Psi_{ka}$ where $k<n$. If we define $F_{n,k}\equiv\xi^{(n)a}\Psi_{ka}/\Psi_k^b\Psi_{kb}$, then after some algebra we deduce that:

$$F_{n,k} \;=\; \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1}}{D_{2k}}\begin{vmatrix} \mu_{n+k} & \mu_{n+k-2} & \cdots & \mu_{n+1} \\ \mu_{2k-2} & \mu_{2k-4} & \cdots & \mu_{k-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{k+1} & \mu_{k-1} & \cdots & \mu_2 \end{vmatrix} \;. \tag{13}$$

In order to clarify the notation, we note that $F_{n,3}$ and $F_{n,1}$ are given respectively by:

$$F_{n,3} \;=\; (-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{D_6}\begin{vmatrix} \mu_{n+3} & \mu_{n+1} \\ \mu_4 & \mu_2 \end{vmatrix} \;,$$

$$F_{n,1} \;=\; (-1)^m\frac{1}{D_2}\mu_{n+1} \;,$$

with $m=\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$. We now have obtained all the relevant identities needed in order to find a recursive formula for the higher order corrections. Let us define the numerator in (9) by $U_n\equiv 2\Psi_n^a\tilde{T}_{ab}\xi^b$. Then, from the following expression for Ψ_n^a:

$$\Psi_n^a \;=\; \xi^{(n)a} - \sum_{k=1,3,5,\cdots}^{n-2} F_{n,k}\Psi_k^a \;, \tag{14}$$

a recursive formula for U_n can be obtained in the form:

$$U_n \;=\; (-1)^m n\mu_{n-1} - \sum_{k=1,3,5,\cdots}^{n-2} F_{n,k}U_k \;, \tag{15}$$

with $U_1=1$. Therefore, the uncertainty relation (9) can be reexpressed as

$$\Delta T^2\Delta H^2 \;\geq\; \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1,3,\cdots}\frac{\mu_2 U_k^2}{N_k} \;. \tag{16}$$

By input of the above relations (15) and (16), a suitable symbolic manipulation application can be made to produce expressions for the higher order terms purely in terms of the central moments μ_{2k} of the distribution of the Hamiltonian H.

One might wonder whether the higher order contributions in (16) vanish, e.g., for Gaussian distributions. However, it turns out that the expressions for U_n in (15) can be reexpressed in terms of linear combinations of the cumulants κ_{2k} of the distribution for H. We recall that if $\phi(\lambda)$ is the characteristic function (Fourier transform) of the distribution for H, then the cumulants κ_r are defined by the relation

$$\sum_r \kappa_r \frac{(i\lambda)^r}{r!} \;=\; \ln \phi(\lambda) \;, \tag{17}$$

and for Gaussian distributions all the cumulants higher than the second order vanish.

On the other hand, for quantum mechanical applications, the distribution of H is not Gaussian, since H is bounded below. Hence one might wish to know typical orders of magnitude for these corrections. To obtain a crude estimate of the sort of numbers that might arise, we consider a system where the distribution of the Hamiltonian can be modelled by a gamma distribution, for which the density function is of the form

$$p(H) \;=\; \frac{\sigma^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma)} e^{-\sigma H} H^{\gamma-1} \;, \tag{18}$$

where $0 \leq H \leq \infty$ and $\sigma, \gamma > 0$. In this case the resulting bound can be calculated, to second order, to be

$$\Delta T^2 \Delta H^2 \;\geq\; \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{18}{3\gamma^2 + 47\gamma + 42} + \cdots\right) \;, \tag{19}$$

with $\Delta H^2 = \gamma/\sigma^2$. In particular, for $\gamma = 1$, the distribution reduces to an exponential probability $p(H) = \sigma \exp(-\sigma H)$, and we find

$$\Delta T^2 \Delta H^2 \;\geq\; \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + 0.196 + 0.063 + \cdots\right) \;, \tag{20}$$

to third order, where the terms on the right are independent of σ. These examples suggest an exponential decay for the higher order contributions, although in general this may not be the case. Extensive experimental data are available for the energy spectra of various systems, by use of which the associated higher order contributions can be evaluated. This would make for an interesting line of enquiry to pursue. One notices that in the case of an exponential distribution, the first two corrections already add up to a significant level of over 25% of the lower bound.

We observe that all the corrections in (16) are given in terms of even order central moments of the distribution for H. This, however, does not imply that odd moments do not exist for quantum trajectories. If the distribution for the conjugate variable is symmetric around its mean, then the odd moments vanish. However, as the situation stands, the system of orthogonal vectors $\{\Psi^a_n\}$ does not form a complete set of basis vectors. In particular, on the unit sphere $\mathcal{S}$, one can also consider the Cauchy-Riemann direction η^a defined by $\eta^a \equiv J^a{}_b \xi^b$. By virtue of the Schrödinger equation (7), η^a is automatically orthogonal, for example, to ξ^a and $\dot{\xi}^a$. By use of this direction field and its derivatives, we can complete the basis. The inclusion of such terms in the expansion for the variance inequality leads to further refined bounds [7], which are not generally negligible if the distribution is asymmetric.

Our approach here has been to take a geometric point of view in order to study the structure of the state space of quantum mechanics. The key to this approach is encapsulated in equation (5), where an essentially probabilistic, or statistical quantity, i.e., the variance, is expressed in terms of an essentially geometric quantity, the length of a vector. Presumably, the higher order terms in the uncertainty relation (16) can, in principle, be constructed by means of standard Fourier analysis. In that respect it would be interesting to compare the

results we have obtained here to other approaches to generalised uncertainty relations [8]. It should be emphasised, however, that the relations obtained therein take the form of 'strong' dispersion bounds, in the sense that they apply to all states in the Hilbert space (or at any rate a dense subset thereof); whereas our results are much more refined in the sense that they apply in a specific, physical measurement theoretic context for the determination of a parameter value in the case of a single trajectory of states (cf. [5]).

It is interesting to recall the fact that the higher order corrections can also be expressed by the cumulants of the distribution $p(H)$. This result suggests the possibility of constructing a cumulant expansion for the uncertainty relations. The cumulants can also be associated with connected graphs with fixed numbers of 'legs', due to the logarithm in (17), which can be compared with the use of Feynman diagrams for the free energy or ground state energy in quantum field theories. Therefore, it would be interesting to determine whether a neat graphic expansion for the uncertainty relations can be constructed.

It should be pointed out that although the energy-time uncertainty is studied here as a matter of illustration, on account of its especially interesting features, analogous results hold for other conjugate variables, such as position and momentum, in which case the statistical manifold is given by the curve $d\xi^a/dq = J^a_{\ b}\tilde{P}^b_{\ c}\xi^c$ for some initial state $\xi^a(0)$. In this case both the momentum operator P and the position operator Q, which acts as an estimator for q, are self adjoint. The arguments outlined above then lead to the inequality (1). It should be born in mind that the geometric formalism employed here, although highly effective, is not strictly essential, and the same results can be obtained by use of the familiar Dirac formalism, working in the Schrödinger representation.

The authors are grateful to C.M. Bender, A.P.A. Kent and B.K. Meister for useful discussions. DCB would like to thank PPARC for financial support.

∗ Electronic address: d.brody@damtp.cam.ac.uk

† Electronic address: lane@ml.com

1. D.C. Brody and L.P. Hughston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2851 (1996).

2. C.R. Rao, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **37**, 81 (1945).

3. A. Bhattacharyya, Sankhyā **8**, 1 (1946); **8**, 201 (1947); **8**, 315 (1948).

4. D.C. Brody and L.P. Hughston, "Statistical Geometry", Preprint IC/TP/95-96/42, gr-qc/9701051.

5. A.S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory*, (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1982).

6. A. Stuart and J.K. Ord, *Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics, Vol. 1* (Edward Arnold, London 1995).

7. D.C. Brody and L.P. Hughston, in *Geometric Issues in the Foundations of Science*, edited by S.A. Huggett, et. al. (Oxford University Press, Oxford 1997).

8. I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Commun. Math. Phys. **44**, 129 (1975); D.L. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 631 (1983); A. Dembo, M. Cover, and J.A. Thomas, IEEE Trans. Infom. Theory **IT-37**, 1501 (1991); J-M. Levy-Leblond, Phys. Lett. A **111**, 353 (1985).